

A CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR LINEAR PROCESSES UNDER LINEAR NEGATIVELY QUADRANT DEPENDENCE

HYUN-CHULL KIM*

ABSTRACT. In this paper we establish a central limit theorem for weighted sums of $Y_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{n,i} X_i$, where $\{a_{n,i}, n \in N, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is an array of nonnegative numbers such that $\sup_{n \geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{n,i}^2 < \infty$, $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_{n,i} \rightarrow 0$ and $\{X_i, i \in N\}$ is a sequence of linear negatively quadrant dependent random variables with $EX_i = 0$ and $EX_i^2 < \infty$. Using this result we will obtain a central limit theorem for partial sums of linear processes.

1. Introduction

For a sequence $\{a_n, n \geq 1\}$ of real numbers the limit superior is defined by $\inf_{r \geq 1} \sup_{n \geq r} a_n$ and is denoted by $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of random variables and $\{a_{n,k}, n \geq 1, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ be an array of real numbers. The weighted sums $\sum_{k=1}^n a_{n,k} X_k$ can play an important role in various applied and theoretical problems, such as those of the least squares estimators(see Kafles and Bhaskara Rao(1982)) and M-estimates(see Rao and Zhao(1992)) in linear models, the nonparametric regression estimators(see Priestley and Chao(1972)), etc. So the study of the central limit theorem is every important and significant.

Two random variables X and Y are said to be negatively quadrant dependent(NQD)[resp. positively quadrant dependent(PQD)] if $P(X \leq x, Y \leq y) - P(X \leq x)P(Y \leq y) \leq 0$ [resp. ≥ 0] for all $x, y \in R$.

A sequence $\{X_k, k \geq 1\}$ is said to be linear negatively[resp. positively] quadrant dependent(LNQD)[resp.(LPQD)] if for any disjoint finite subsets $A, B \subset N$ and any positive real numbers $r_j, \sum_{i \in A} r_i X_i$

Received June 12, 2013; Accepted July 19, 2013.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60F05.

Key words and phrases: linear processes, linear negatively quadrant dependent, central limit theorem, weighted sum, uniformly integrable.

*This paper was supported by the Sehan University Research Fund in 2013.

and $\sum_{j \in B} r_j X_j$ are NQD[resp. PQD]. The definition of NQD is given by Lehmann(1966) and the concept of LNQD[resp. LPQD] is given by Newman(1984). Because of their wide applications Birkel(1993) gave a central limit theorem and a functional central limit theorem for LPQD sequence.

THEOREM 1.1 (Newman(1984)). *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of strictly stationary LNQD random variables with $EX_1 = 0$ and $EX_1^2 < \infty$. If*

$$\sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Cov(X_1, X_i) < \infty,$$

then

$$\sigma^{-1} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow^D N(0, 1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where \rightarrow^D means convergence in distribution.

Peligrad and Utev(1997) have proved the following central limit theorem for weighted sums of associated random variables :

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $\{a_{n,i}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of nonnegative numbers such that*

$$(1.1) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{n,i}^2 < \infty,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_{n,i} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of mean zero associated random variables such that

$$(1.3) \quad \{X_i^2\} \text{ is an uniformly integrable family,}$$

$$(1.4) \quad Var\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{n,i} X_i\right) = 1,$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{j:|k-j| \geq u} Cov(X_k, X_j) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } u \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly in } k \geq 1.$$

(See Cox and Grimmett(1984)). Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{n,i} X_i \rightarrow^D N(0, 1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where \rightarrow^D means convergence in distribution.

In this paper inspired by Peligrad and Utev(1997) we extend Theorem B to the case of LNQD random variables and prove the central limit theorem for linear process generated by LNQD random variables using this result.

2. Main results

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of mean zero and finite variance LNQD random variables satisfying (1.3), (1.4) and*

$$(1.5)' \quad \sum_{j:|k-j|\geq u} |Cov(X_k, X_j)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } u \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly in } k \geq 1.$$

Let $\{a_{n,i}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of nonnegative numbers satisfying (1.1) and (1.2).

Then

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_{n,i} X_i \rightarrow^D N(0, 1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The following lemma needs to prove Theorem 2.1.

LEMMA 2.2 (Newman(1984)). *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of LNQD random variables with finite second moments. Then*

$$(2.2) \quad |E \exp(it \sum_{j=1}^n X_j) - \prod_{j=1}^n E(\exp itX_j)| \leq Ct^2 |Var(\sum_{j=1}^n X_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n Var(X_j)|$$

for all $t \in R$, where $C > 0$ is an arbitrary constant, not depending on n .

REMARK 2.3. *The right hand side of (2.2) converges to zero as n goes infinity.*

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Without loss of generality we assume that $a_{n,i} = 0$ for $i > n$. For $1 \leq a < b \leq n$ and $1 \leq u \leq b - a$ we have, after simple manipulations

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \leq \sum_{i=a}^{b-u} a_{n,i} \sum_{j=i+u}^b a_{n,j} |Cov(X_i, X_j)|$$

$$\leq \sup_k \left(\sum_{j:|k-j|\geq u} |Cov(X_k, X_j)| \right) \left(\sum_{i=a}^b a_{n,i}^2 \right).$$

In particular, by (1.5)' there exists a constant C such that for every $1 \leq a \leq b \leq n$,

$$\text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=a}^b a_{n,i}X_i\right) \leq C \sum_{i=a}^b a_{n,i}^2.$$

We shall construct an array of random variables $\{Z_{n,i}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ for which we shall make use of Lemma 2.2. Fix a small positive ϵ and find a positive $u = u_\epsilon$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{b-u} a_{n,i} \sum_{j=i+u}^b a_{n,j} |\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)| \\ &\leq \epsilon \text{ for every } n \geq u + 1. \end{aligned}$$

This is possible because of (2.3) and (1.5)'.

As in Peligrad and Utev(1997) we denote $[x]$ the integer part of x and define

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right] \\ Y_{n,j} &= \sum_{i=uj+1}^{u(j+1)} a_{n,i}X_i, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots \\ A_j &= \{i : 2Kj \leq i < 2Kj + K, |\text{Cov}(Y_{n,i}, Y_{n,i+1})|\} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{K} \sum_{i=2Kj}^{2Kj+K} \text{Var}(Y_{n,i}). \end{aligned}$$

From the fact that $2|\text{Cov}(Y_{n,i}, Y_{n,i+1})| \leq \text{Var}(Y_{n,i}) + \text{Var}(Y_{n,i+1})$ we get that for every j the set A_j is not empty.

Now we define the integers m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n recursively by $m_0 = 0$. $m_{j+1} = \min\{m : m > m_j, m \in A_j\}$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{n,j} &= \sum_{i=m_j+1}^{m_{j+1}} Y_{n,i}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots \\ \Delta_j &= \{u(m_j + 1) + 1, \dots, u(m_{j+1} + 1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that

$$Z_{n,j} = \sum_{k \in \Delta_j} a_{n,k}X_k, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots$$

(See Peligrad and Utev(1997).)

It is easy to see that every set Δ_j contains no more than $3Ku$ elements.

Hence, for every fixed positive by (1.1) and (1.2) the array $\{Z_{n,i} : i = 1, \dots, n, n \geq 1\}$ satisfies the Lindeberg's condition. It remains to see that by Lemma 2.2

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |E \exp(it \sum_{j=1}^n Z_{n,j}) - \prod_{j=1}^n E \exp(it Z_{n,j})| \\
 & \leq Ct^2 (\text{Var}(\sum_{j=1}^n Z_{n,j}) - \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Var}(Z_{n,j})) \\
 & \leq Ct^2 (2 \sum_{i=1}^n |\text{Cov}(Z_{n,i}, Z_{n,i+1})| + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \sum_{j=i+2}^n (|\text{Cov}(Z_{n,i}, Z_{n,j})|)) \\
 & \leq Ct^2 \{4 \sum_{i=1}^{n-u} a_{n,i} \sum_{j=i+u}^n a_{n,j} |\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)| + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n |\text{Cov}(Y_{n,m_j}, Y_{n,m_j+1})|\} \\
 & \leq Ct^2 \{4\epsilon + \frac{8}{K} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(Y_{n,i})\} \\
 & \leq C' t^2 \epsilon (1 + \text{Var}(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{n,i} X_i)) \\
 & \leq C'' t^2 \epsilon \text{ for every positive } \epsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the proof Theorem 2.1 is complete by Theorem 4.2 in Billingsley(1968). □

COROLLARY 2.4. $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of mean zero LNQD random variables such that $\{X_i^2\}$ is uniformly integrable and $\{a'_{n,i}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of nonnegative numbers such that

$$(2.4) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a'_{n,i}}{\sigma_n^2} < \infty,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{a'_{n,i}}{\sigma_n^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\sigma_n^2 = \text{Var}(\sum_{i=1}^n a'_{n,i} X_i)$.

If (1.5)' holds, then

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{1}{\sigma_n} \sum_{i=1}^n a'_{n,i} X_i \rightarrow^D N(0, 1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Let $a_{n,i} = \frac{a'_{n,i}}{\sigma_n}$. Then by Theorem 2.1 the result (2.6) follows.

In time series analysis, the linear process $Y_m = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{m+j} X_j$ is of great importance, where $\{a_k, 0 < k < \infty\}$ is a sequence of numbers and many important time series models have the type of Y_m .

We apply Theorem 2.1 to obtain the following central limit theorem for the partial sum of a linear process of the form $Y_m = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{m+j} X_j$. \square

THEOREM 2.5. $Y_m = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{m+j} X_j$, where $\{a_k, 0 < k < \infty\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative numbers with $\sum_j a_j < \infty$ and $\{X_j\}$ is a sequence of LNQD random variables satisfying (1.4) and (1.5)'. Set

$$\text{Var}(S_n^*) = \sigma_n^{*2},$$

where $S_n^* = \sum_{m=1}^n Y_m$. If $\sigma_n^* \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{S_n^*}{\sigma_n^*} \rightarrow^D N(0, 1),$$

where \rightarrow^D means convergence in distribution.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.4 in Peligrad and Utev(1997). To complete the proof we repeat it here. Without loss of generality we assume

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} E(X_n^2) = 1.$$

We also have

$$S_n^* = \sum_{m=1}^n Y_m = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=1}^n a_{m+j} \right) X_j.$$

In order to apply Theorem 2.1, we choose W_n such that $\sum_{j>W_n} a_j^2 < n^{-3}$ and take $k_n = W_n + n$. Then

$$\frac{S_n^*}{\sigma_n^*} = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{k+j} \right) X_j / \sigma_n^* + \sum_{j=k_n+1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{k+j} \right) X_j / \sigma_n^* = T_n + U_n.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the assumption we have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(U_n) &\leq \sum_{j=k_n+1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{k+j} \right) X_j / \sigma_n^* \Big)^2 \\ &\leq n(\sigma_n^*)^{-2} \sum_{j=k_n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{k+j}^2 \\ &\leq n^2(\sigma_n^*)^{-2} \sum_{j=k_n-n+1}^{\infty} a_j^2 \\ &\leq n^2(\sigma_n^*)^{-2} \sum_{j=W_n+1}^{\infty} a_j^2 \\ &\leq n^{-1}(\sigma_n^*)^{-2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$(2.8) \quad U_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Theorem 4.1 of Billingsley(1968) it remains only to prove that $T_n \rightarrow^D N(0, 1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Put

$$(2.9) \quad a_{n,k} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n a_{k+j}}{\sigma_n^*}.$$

From the assumptions $\sum_j a_j < \infty$, $\sigma_n^* \rightarrow \infty$ and (2.9) we obtain

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{k+j} / \sigma_n^* \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which yields

$$(2.10) \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} a_{n,k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In order to apply Theorem 2.1 we have to show

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{n,k}^2 < \infty.$$

Suppose on the contrary that for some $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a subsequence (j', n') , $n' \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n'} a_{k+j'} > \epsilon \sigma_{n'}^*.$$

Denote by $A = \sup_{k \geq 1} a_k$ and notice that for $r > j'$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_{k+r} \geq \epsilon \sigma_{n'} - 2A(r - j').$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_{n'}^2}{b} &\geq \sum_{i=j'}^{j+W} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{k+i} \right)^2, \\ &\geq W \epsilon^2 \sigma_{n'}^2 - 4A \sigma_{n'} \epsilon \left(\sum_{i=j'}^{j+W} (i - j') \right) \\ &\geq W \epsilon^2 \sigma_{n'}^2 - 4A \sigma_{n'} \epsilon W^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking W to be the least positive integer greater than or equal to $\frac{3}{b\epsilon^2}$ and because $\sigma_{n'} \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain for n' sufficiently large,

$$\frac{\sigma_{n'}^2}{b} \geq \frac{3\sigma_{n'}^2}{b} - \sigma_{n'} \frac{3\sigma A}{b^2 \epsilon^2} > \frac{2\sigma_{n'}^2}{b}$$

which is a contradiction. That is we have

$$(2.11) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{n,k}^2 < \infty.$$

Hence, by (2.10) and (2.11) we have

$$(2.12) \quad T_n \xrightarrow{D} N(0, 1).$$

Finally, by (2.8), (2.12) and Theorem 4.1 of Billingsley the result follows. \square

References

- [1] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [2] T. Birkel, *A functional central limit theorem for positively dependent random variables*, J. Multivariate Anal. **11** (1993), 314-320.
- [3] J. T. Cox and G. Grimmett, *Central limit theorems for associated random variables and the percolation model*, Ann. Probab. **12** (1984), 514-528.
- [4] D. Kafles and R. M. Bhaskara, *Weak consistency of least squares estimators in linear models*, J. Multivariate Anal. **12** (1982), 186-198.
- [5] E. L. Lehmann, *Some concepts of dependence*, Ann. Math. Stat. **37** (1966), 1137-1153.
- [6] C. M. Newman, *Normal fluctuations and the FKG inequalities*, Commun. Math. Phys. **74** (1980), 119-128.

- [7] C. M. Newman, *Asymptotic independence and limit theorems for positively and negatively dependent random variables*, in *Inequalities in Statistics and Probability* (Tong, Y.L., ed., IMS, Hayward, CA), 1984.
- [8] M. Peligrad and S. Utev, *Central limit theorem for linear processes*, *Ann. Probab.* **25** (1997), 443-456.
- [9] M. B. Priestley and M. T. Chao, *Nonparametric function fitting*, *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B* **34** (1972), 385-392.
- [10] C. R. Rao and M. T. Zhao, *Linear representation of M-estimates in linear models*, *Canad. J. Statist.* **20** (1992), 359-368.
- [11] G. Roussas, *Asymptotic normality of random fields of positively or negatively associated processes*, *J. Multivariate Anal.* **50** (1994), 152-173.
- [12] S. Shanskin, *A strong invariance principle for positively or negatively associated random fields*, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **78** (2008), 2121-2129.

*

Department of Mathematics Education
Sehan University
Jeonnam 526-720, Korea
E-mail: kimhc@sehan.ac.kr